Strategy for Long-Term Management of Exotic Trees in Riparian Areas for New Mexico’s Five River Systems, 2005-2014
This strategy was prepared by the New Mexico Interagency Weed Action Group (IWAG), which is an ad-hoc group of Federal and State resource management agency representatives and university research specialists involved with invasive weed management. First organized in 1999, IWAG members have been cooperating in addressing invasive weed action programs of mutual concern.
This strategy addresses the long-term management of saltcedar (Tamarix spp.), Russian olive (Elaeagnus angustifolia), and Siberian elm (Ulmus pumila) in the narrow belts of riparian vegetation along the Rio Grande, Pecos, Canadian, San Juan, and Gila/San Francisco River systems, including connected perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral streams. The ongoing degradation of native plant communities in riparian areas is a significant concern of citizens of New Mexico; a concern shared by Federal, State, and local resource managers.

This is a scientifically based strategy that provides a broad framework for Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) of exotic tree infestations. Preventing the continued spread of these infestations, controlling existing infestations, and maintaining and improving the health of native plant communities are the ultimate goals of this strategy. The various adverse environmental, social, and economic consequences associated with the outbreaks are significant, and they will continue to increase without direct intervention.

This long-term strategic approach is meant to serve as a common sense blueprint to assist in protection and restoration efforts being implemented by agency managers and private landowners, and provide a framework for the development of local plans. To be successful, agency managers and landowners will need to undertake coordinated control and restoration throughout the five river systems. Although several closed water basins in New Mexico are not addressed in this plan, the same management concepts can be applied to these basins.

Since large-scale coordinated programs require a substantial commitment of Federal and State funds, a higher level of assessment for entire river systems is needed. Participating agencies acknowledge that riparian protection and restoration efforts must extend beyond administrative boundaries, and local groups must be active participants.

Adequate, consistent, and long-term funding will need to be provided to achieve successful control of exotic tree infestations and restoration of riparian areas. To address infestations in the five river systems, it is estimated that at least $64,400,000 of Federal and State cost-share funding (including volunteer and in-kind services) will be needed for the first 10-year period under this plan. Funding would need to be balanced between prevention, treatment of light infestations, protection of areas of special concern, and large scale infestations.

This plan is supported by the Southwest Strategy and the New Mexico Interagency Weed Action Group (NMIWAG). This project was developed under the Memorandum of Understanding for Coordinated Resource Management (CRM), which provides a mechanism for Federal, State and local interests to foster communication, cooperation, and coordination in developing and implementing sound resource management and conservation programs.
Purpose

This strategy provides a broad framework for Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) of exotic trees throughout the five major river systems in New Mexico (Figure 1 and Table 1). It is designed to encourage public land managers and private landowners to undertake coordinated control and restoration. It identifies long-term objectives to address infestations and defines measures of success.

Scope and Background

Saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm are capable of invading a wide range of areas throughout New Mexico, including riparian habitats, rangelands with ephemeral springs, roadsides, urban areas, and mountain meadows and forests. While management plans will need to consider all infested areas, this document focuses on riparian habitats, including connected perennial, intermittent,
and ephemeral streams, as these are critical to wildlife and have high recreational value to New Mexicans.

Climatic fluctuations, combined with human activities, have resulted in significant changes to riparian woodlands in New Mexico. The introduction of saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm into New Mexico in the early 1900s has resulted in profound changes in riparian forests and nearby areas (Shurlock 1987). These exotic trees have quickly spread along the Rio Grande, Pecos, and San Juan River systems, replacing native plant communities, and becoming the dominant species in many places. Infestations continue to spread and intensify throughout all river systems in the State.

While many citizens understand that the current vegetative conditions are unnatural, they value the rivers and the adjacent bosques (DeBuys 1993). Dense stands of exotic trees have limited recreational value and increased risk for wildfires (Stuever 1997). Also, the demand for water exceeds supply in virtually every river basin in the State (New Mexico Office of the State Engineer and the Interstate Stream Commission, Framework for Public Input to a State Water Plan, 2002). The recent drought has added emphasis to the problem of excessive water use by these exotic tree species.

Long-term management is needed to address the continued spread of exotic weeds and associated adverse consequences for future generations of New Mexicans. Other invasive tree species, such as tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), have invaded riparian areas and other woody species are expected to become established in the future. Management of these invaders must be addressed as part of any riparian restoration effort.

**Table 1. Watershed area and total stream length for five river systems in New Mexico**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed Area (square miles)</th>
<th>Total length of the main stem and tributaries (miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande</td>
<td>31,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos</td>
<td>23,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>17,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan</td>
<td>9,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila/San Francisco</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IVM is a structured approach to developing a weed management plan that optimizes management to meet specific objectives for the land.

*Figure 2. Riparian areas provide essential habitat for migrating birds.*
The natural and restorable riparian areas are an invaluable resource for the State. Protection and improvement of these areas will not only enhance biological diversity, but will also provide benefits for economic stability and environmental quality. With planning and coordination, the highly productive plant communities can be managed in a cost effective and environmentally compatible manner.

In addition, other invasive weeds are becoming established throughout riparian areas in New Mexico. These species can invade riparian areas and survive underneath canopies of existing native and exotic trees. Any efforts to manage the exotic tree species covered in this plan must avoid spreading or creating opportunities for these species to thrive. Whenever possible, management plans should also address other invasive species existing in the understory. Russian knapweed \((Acroptilon repens)\), perennial pepperweed \((Lepidium latifolium)\), camelthorn \((Alhagi pseudalhagi)\), and leafy spurge \((Euphorbia esula\) L.) are of particular concern in New Mexico (Lee 1999).

**Biology and Ecology of Exotic Phreatophytes**

**Saltcedar \((Tamarisk spp.)\):** Saltcedar is a deep-rooted deciduous shrub or tree that can reach up to 25 feet in height. While initially introduced for erosion control, it has escaped cultivation and can form dense monotypic stands along riparian and flood plain habitats and open savanna-like infestations in upland areas. It is widely distributed throughout the West, and surveys estimate over 1.5 million acres are infested in the Southwest alone (Brotherson and Field 1987; Brock 1994). In New Mexico all major watersheds have documented infestations of saltcedar, with the Rio Grande, Pecos, Canadian, and San Juan River systems having large reaches dominated by saltcedar. Within New Mexico, the Gila and San Francisco River basins are still predominately comprised of native species, but the

**Figure 3. Flowering saltcedars in Pump Canyon, a tributary of the San Juan River.**
potential exists for further spread of exotics (Dr. Mark Renz, New Mexico State University, 2003, personal communication).

Saltcedar establishes in areas associated with native species such as screwbean mesquite (*Prosopis pubescens*), cottonwoods (*Populus* spp.), and willows (*Salix*). Seeds germinate readily in moist areas that are frequently disturbed (Horton et al. 1960; Stromberg 1997). If the correct conditions exist (moist soil for several weeks), plants can grow up to 6 to 9 feet in a season and produce seeds within the same year (Friederici 1995). Root growth is predominantly downward with little branching until plants reach the water table. These characteristics allow saltcedar to be very competitive and capable of displacing resident plant populations (Lovich et al., 1994) without native plant competitors (Sher et al. 2002). Over time, the competitiveness of saltcedar has allowed it to form impenetrable thickets in many riparian areas where environmental stress is high (Brotherson and Field 1987; Sher et al. 2002).

Long distance spread of saltcedar is primarily through seed dispersal, but vegetative propagation is usually responsible for local spread and infestation intensification. Plants typically bloom from April through October in New Mexico, and a single plant is capable of producing up to half a million seeds per year (DiTomaso 1998). Seeds are dispersed into the environment by wind and water, but are viable for only a few weeks (Brotherson and Field 1987). Plants can also spread vegetatively by resprouting from roots and stems that have been buried (Frasier and Johnsen, 1991). While stems rarely fragment naturally, some management techniques may lead to vegetative spread.

**Russian olive** (*Elaeagnus angustifolia* L.): This is a fast growing deciduous tree that can reach up to 40 feet in height (Brock 1998; Whitson et al. 2000). An ornamental tree first introduced for landscaping and windbreaks in the late 1800s, Russian olive has spread and is now naturalized throughout the central and western United States. It is highly invasive in seasonally wet riparian and flood plain habitats, where it has been observed to replace native willow and cottonwood species (Crawford et al. 1993). It can grow under dense stands of saltcedar, out compete resident plants, and eventually dominate some riparian sites (Olson and Knopf 1986). Russian olive can also tolerate high salt levels in soil and drought (Brock 1998). Dense infestations are common along the San Juan River and northern sections of the Rio Grande. Note the Russian olive infestation along the edge of the Rio Grande in Figure 11.

Leaves of Russian olive are grayish green with silvery scales, and the bark is dark brown. Established trees are very competitive and plants can grow up to 5 feet per year. The root system grows deep into the soil with many well-developed lateral roots. Seedlings and saplings can survive in low light under canopies (Shafroth et al. 1995). Plants can also tolerate drought conditions.

Russian olive reproduces primarily by seed, and seed-eating birds result in long distance dissemination. Plants flower from May through June in New Mexico, and seedlings germinate from fall through spring. Seeds can survive 3 years in controlled conditions (Schopmeyer 1974), but seed longevity in the field is unknown (Young and Young 1992). Plants can flower and set seed within 3 years following germination (Borell 1962). Vegetative spread can also occur as numerous root suckers are produced at the root crown after a disturbance to the shoot system.

Riparian is a word used to describe anything connected with or next to the banks of a stream, pond, or lake. A “riparian area” is a body of water and the land adjacent to it. Riparian areas typically have a unique combination of flora, fauna, and soil characteristics compared to nearby deserts, grasslands, or forests. Although riparian areas occupy less than one percent of the landscape, they support some of the greatest diversity of plant and animal species and are essential habitat for much of the native Southwestern flora and fauna.
Siberian elm (Ulmus pumila):
Siberian elm is a deciduous tree that can reach over 70 feet in height. It is native to northern China, eastern Siberia, Manchuria, and Korea. The species was introduced into the United States in the 1860s as an ornamental tree. Siberian elm has escaped cultivation and is currently established throughout the central and southwestern United States. This plant is typically found along riparian areas, but it has been observed to invade roadsides, meadows, and upland areas. Infestations are present in the upper reaches of the Rio Grande, Pecos River, and other river systems, and are spreading into higher elevations.

Siberian elm flowers from February through April in New Mexico depending upon elevation, temperature, and precipitation patterns. It is often erroneously identified as Chinese elm (Ulmus parvifolia), an autumn-flowering species. Siberian elms produce many winged fruit, each of which contains one seed. Seeds are spread by wind and can produce blankets of seedlings in areas void of vegetation. Seeds readily germinate and seedlings grow rapidly and compete with resident vegetation. Densities as high as 4,200 seedlings per acre have been observed (Jim Brooks, Ciudad SWCD, personal communication). It is not known how long seeds remain viable. Rapid and prolific resprouting can occur when the shoot system is disturbed, causing local vegetative spread.

Water Basins Not Currently Considered: Although closed basins are not addressed in this plan, exotic trees are considered to be a significant problem in the Little Colorado, Central Closed, Tularosa and Hueco, Salt, Southwest

Figure 4. Siberian elm leaves.

Figure 5. Saltcedar plant has formed sand pedestal in White Sands National Monument, National Park Service.
### Table 2. Summary of Environmental Impacts of Exotic Tree Species in New Mexico

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Hydrology</th>
<th>Fire Frequency</th>
<th>Soil Salinity</th>
<th>Community Diversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt-cedar</td>
<td>Stabilizes streambank edges leading to channelization of stream (Graf 1978).</td>
<td>Fire adapted species that rapidly resprouts after fires, and recovers faster than native species (Busch and Smith 1995).</td>
<td>Plants take up salt from the soil, store it in the leaves, and then release it into the environment.</td>
<td>Dense stands have low native woody and herbaceous plant diversity (Campbell and Dick-Peddie 1964).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saltcedar evapotranspiration rates exceed that of native replacement vegetation in many circumstances (King and Bawazir 2000, Dahm et al. 2002).</td>
<td>Increased fire frequency of infested areas has been observed.</td>
<td>Saltcedar is adapted to a wide range of salinity levels (Shafroth 1995).</td>
<td>Interferes with regeneration of woody perennials such as cottonwoods (Taylor et al. 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced avian diversity (Ellis 1995), reduced herpetofauna (Konkle 1996).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian olive</td>
<td>Alters nutrient cycling (Howe and Knopf 1991).</td>
<td>No information available on fire frequency, but it rapidly resprouts after fires.</td>
<td>Salt tolerant compared with other species (Monk and Wiebe 1961).</td>
<td>Dense stands have reduced biodiversity (Waring and Tremble 1993).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can also stabilize streambank edges, leading to channelization of stream.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Believed to be a large consumer of water, but no data is available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian elm</td>
<td>Could be a large consumer of water, but no data available.</td>
<td>No information available on fire frequency, but it rapidly resprouts after fires.</td>
<td>No data is available.</td>
<td>No data is available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Many of these processes are density and age dependent, with dense old infestations showing the largest changes in the ecosystem.

Locally, the Spanish term “bosque” is commonly used to refer to the woodland fringe along river systems.
Closed, and Southern High Plains Basins. The management concepts addressed in this strategy can be applied in these closed basins.

Overview of Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) Approach

Cooperating agencies, organizations, and individual landowners must have a shared long-term vision for management of exotic riparian species in the river systems in New Mexico to accomplish long-term control. Several effective strategic guides to weed management have been developed. They emphasize prevention, early detection and mapping, timely control, and adaptive management (Pulling Together, National Strategy for Invasive Plant Management, multi-agency support organization; Partners Against Weeds, Bureau of Land Management, 1996; and Stemming the Invasive Tide, Forest Service Strategy for Noxious and Nonnative Invasive Plant Management, 1998). This strategy addresses each of these elements.

Elements of the Strategy

Management actions can be optimized by adopting a systematic approach, such as IVM. This strategy emphasizes IVM methodology including prevention, containment, and control of exotic species. These concepts have been successfully implemented by many groups for control of other weeds in the West.

A long-term management strategy in the selected river systems must address all types of riparian areas: (1) those not yet infested; (2) those with light infestations; (3) areas with special considerations; and (4) areas of extensive infestation. At the same time, the strategy must be designed to result in a progressive reduction of overall infestation levels. Each river system needs to be addressed as a whole.

Management objectives will vary based on the level of infestation and the location of a site within the river system (Taylor and McDaniel 2004). All management efforts should contribute to the overall reduction of infestation levels. It is important to note that implementation of this strategy does not preclude local managers from initiating projects to achieve local objectives, although policy makers must understand that management of infestations at the top of the watershed will improve sustainability of programs downstream. The following are varying levels of infestation within a river system and priorities for their management:

- **Uninfested Headwaters and Other Sites**: The priority is to protect these sites from infestation, prevent upstream seed sources, and maintain or improve the health of existing native plant communities.
- **Riparian Sites with Light Infestations**: The priority is to remove exotic trees, reduce upstream seed sources, and protect and enhance existing native plant communities.
- **Areas of Special Concern**: The priority is to identify riparian areas or wetlands that have a special focus (recreational uses or critical habitat for threatened, endangered, or sensitive species) and to preserve, create, or enhance the unique attributes on such sites.
- **Densely Infested Sites**: The priority is to remove dense or monotypic stands of exotic trees and restore desirable plant species to achieve specific objectives.
Strategy for Long-term Mgmt. of Exotic Trees in New Mexico's Riparian Areas

Headwaters and Other Uninfested Sites: Preventing new infestations from forming is extremely important as it helps to maintain desirable plant community structure and function. Prevention includes limiting dispersal of seeds and plant parts from nearby areas, minimizing soil disturbance, and maintaining or improving the health of competitive plant species. Generally, regeneration will not be required if natural processes enable desirable plant maintenance and recruitment.

Riparian sites that have not yet been infested by exotic trees and have relatively healthy native and desirable plant communities need to be conserved. Invasion of riparian sites can be a slow process and healthy native plant communities can generally offer competition to invasion by exotic trees (Sher et al. 2002). Although a detailed inventory of the five river systems has not been conducted, many uninfested areas are present in the upper reaches of drainages, especially for the Rio Grande, Pecos, and Gila/San Francisco Rivers. Periodic surveillance of these sites will need to be done and exotic trees discovered during surveys will need to be immediately removed.

Riparian Sites With Light Infestations: Riparian areas with relatively light infestations and relatively healthy native plant communities usually can be treated and restored economically. Early detection will also minimize management costs and negative impacts these exotic trees impose on the system. Per acre costs for control increase as densities of exotic trees increase. The main economic advantage to early treatment of these areas is avoiding costly restoration efforts.

Surveys are needed to inventory the location and size of infestations as well as other plant species present within the area. Ideally, surveys should be done annually to allow for detection of new infestations and allow for prompt management. Areas with a high risk of infestation may need to be surveyed more frequently to ensure early detection. Information can be mapped, which will aid in establishing priorities and developing or adjusting local management.

Once an area is mapped, goals need to be established for management of individual infestations to provide for sustainable, long-term control through regeneration (Taylor and McDaniel 2004). These goals should be specific and have measurable outcomes that are realistic. Prioritization of programs based on the level of infestation and potential for natural restoration will optimize the area to be treated with existing resources.

Since water dispersal of seeds is significant for saltcedar and Siberian elm, treatments, whenever possible, should begin at the upper reaches of a drainage and progress downstream. Treatment of Russian olive infestations is similar, but the long-range dispersal of seed by birds reduces the effectiveness of the watershed approach.

Treating Areas of Special Concern: Special areas of concern include the following: (1) habitat for threatened, endangered, and sensitive species; (2) dense stands of saltcedar and riparian sites with heavy fuel accumulations that increase the risk of wildfire; (3) historical cottonwood gallery forests; (4) areas of religious and cultural significance; and (5) areas where perennial water could be restored.

Treatment methods for such sites should be based on management objectives and existing conditions. As with areas with light infestations, selective methods would be most appropriate where a remnant of native or desirable plants is present. However, some sites may need extensive tree removal and
restoration to achieve specific objectives, and involve a variety of control methods.

Presently, the species of concern most closely associated with management of exotic trees in New Mexico include the endangered Southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax trailli extimus*) and the yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*). The designation of critical habitat for the endangered Southwestern willow flycatcher is scheduled for completion by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in late 2005, while the yellow-billed cuckoo is being considered for listing as a threatened species (April Fletcher, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2003, personal communication). Within these areas, specific treatments can be designed to maintain and improve vegetative conditions for these species by applying selective exotic tree removal within breeding areas. Treatments could occur in the fall or winter outside of the breeding season.

**Treating Monotypic Stands:** Large reaches of the Rio Grande, Pecos, Canadian, San Juan, and Gila River systems currently have monotypic stands of saltcedar and Russian olive with only a few remnants of native plant communities. Russian olive and Siberian elm appear to be more abundant at higher elevations, especially in the northern parts of the State. Without intervention, an increasingly larger area will be permanently modified by these exotic tree infestations. Eradication is an unrealistic objective for such large, dense infestations. Containment and annual density reduction is more practical.

Russian olive infestations can develop under dense stands of saltcedar and could become more dominant in some riparian areas. Removal of one species would provide an opportunity for the spread and intensification of the other species, including the potential for invasion by herbaceous exotics. Rapid revegetation following control can provide competition against such invasions and lead to lasting, sustainable control that is resistant to invasion (Taylor and McDaniel 2004).

Control of dense infestations is often done for a variety of objectives. Monotypic stands of saltcedar are at high risk from wildfire, which is of particular concern to nearby residential communities (Taylor 2000). In some instances, saltcedar can alter ground water hydrology as water tables decline and sites become more xeric (dry) (Lovich et al. 1994). Control of large, monotypic stands may increase water in some areas (King and Bawazir 2000, Dahm et al. 2002).

**Management Techniques**

Several methods have been shown to be effective in managing exotic trees. Selection of the appropriate methods depends on a number of factors, such as infestation density, management objectives, environmental concerns, costs, and social considerations. Restoration potential also is an important consideration. No method will provide 100 percent control and followup treatments will be needed for many years to achieve desired results. As new techniques could become available during implementation of this strategy, decision makers will need to exercise managerial flexibility to adopt these new methods. An example of this could be the use of biological control agents.
Table 3. Estimated cost per acre and expected percent of control for individual saltcedar treatments and large scale control methods (adapted from Taylor and McDaniel 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Treatment</th>
<th>Cost per Acre</th>
<th>Percent Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Plant Treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual Removal (Immature Plants)</td>
<td>0-$5,000</td>
<td>95-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Grubbing</td>
<td>$40-$300</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-volume Herbicide Application</td>
<td>$30-$60</td>
<td>80-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-stump Herbicide Application</td>
<td>$1,600-$2,500</td>
<td>60-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-based Foliar Herbicide</td>
<td>$40-$300</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Scale Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane Herbicide-Burn</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter Herbicide-Burn$^{a}$</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane Herbicide-Shred$^{a,5}$</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter Herbicide-Shred$^{b}$</td>
<td>$510</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane Herbicide-Burn-Mechanical$^{a}$</td>
<td>$380</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter Herbicide-Burn-Mechanical$^{b}$</td>
<td>$490</td>
<td>97-99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Doug Parker, 2003, personal communication
2. Duncan 2003
3. McDaniel and Taylor 2003a
4. McDaniel and Taylor 2003b
5. Includes 2 years of followup, ground-based foliar herbicide treatment
6. The majority of the cost will be for tree cutting and removal or chipping, and the herbicide cost can vary from $20-$60 per acre.

Light Infestations and Areas of Special Concern

- **Manual Removal:** Immature plants (about 2 feet tall or less) can often be controlled by hand-pulling or grubbing. To be effective, most of the root structure must be removed and destroyed. Saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm can readily reproduce from cut stems and sections of buried roots. Improper removal and disposal can result in vigorous regrowth. Unless performed by volunteers, the cost for manual removal of dense thickets of seedlings can be prohibitively expensive.

- **Selective Mechanical Grubbing:** Mechanical grubbing can selectively remove individual trees on sites that have good access (Taylor and McDaniel 2004). To be effective, the complete root system must be excavated and removed from the site. Mechanical removal can result in soil disturbance causing impacts to resident vegetation, but soil disturbance may be necessary on some sites to restore desired vegetation (Taylor and McDaniel 2003).

A *phreatophyte* is a deep-rooted plant that occurs along rivers or other wet sites and obtains its water from the water table.
The initial cost to purchase equipment for mechanical removal is high, and annual maintenance costs will be required. Equipment contracting can be a more economical approach for using mechanical methods. See Table 3 for cost estimates.

- **Low-volume Basal Bark Herbicide Application**: Small saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm saplings and regrowth (stems less than 2-3 inches in diameter at ground level and less than 8 feet tall) can be controlled by a basal application of triclopyr (ester formulation) mixed with vegetable oil or another proven carrier. This technique involves the selective application of a herbicide to control individual plants or groups of plants using backpack sprayers (Parker and Williamson 2003). Applications can be done at any time of the year, although fall through spring applications are preferred. Adverse effects to desirable plants can be avoided when they are dormant. This is a cost effective method for selective control of small diameter trees (Table 3). Triclopyr will have little or no effect on grasses and desirable trees and shrubs will not be affected unless directly sprayed.

- **Cut-stump Herbicide Application**: For large trees with thick bark, a low-volume, cut stump method involves a combination of cutting and herbicidal treatment to achieve “root kill.” This involves cutting the trunk just above the ground and immediately applying an amine or ester formulation (mixed with vegetable oil) of triclopyr (Parker and Williamson 2003) or imazapyr to the cut surface (Duncan 2003). Cutting large

Figure 6. Selective control of Russian olive and saltcedar saplings following basal bark application.
trees with chain saws can be dangerous, but this approach is a cost effective, selective treatment for light infestations. Per acre costs depend on tree density, and the majority of the cost is for tree cutting and removal or chipping of the woody debris.

- **Foliar Herbicide Application:** Foliar applications of a mixture of imazapyr and glyphosate are effective when applied between June and September. The addition of a nonionic surfactant to the spray mix is recommended. Glyphosate has been shown to be effective in controlling Russian olive when applied in June (McDaniel et al. 2002), but the application of imazapyr in August and September, when trees are moving carbohydrate reserves to their root systems, is more effective (Duncan and McDaniel 1998, Duncan 2003). Complete foliar coverage of individual plants is necessary, and care must be taken to not adversely affect adjacent desirable vegetation. Imazapyr and glyphosate are considered broad spectrum herbicides and will injure or kill plants that intercept the spray solution. This can be a cost effective method where infestations are accessible with backpack sprayers or ATV mounted spray equipment. Costs are density dependant and can be high due to the volume of herbicide solution that must be applied to obtain complete coverage of the foliage (Table 3). Imazapyr does not control Siberian elm.

**Densely Infested Sites**

- **Mechanical Removal:** For dense monotypic stands, trunk and stem removal by heavy machinery followed by root plowing and raking can be an effective method (McDaniel and Taylor 2003a, 2003b). This technique is appropriate where there is no concern about affecting associated desirable plants. Trunks and stems should be cleared during the winter to avoid over heating equipment, while root plowing and raking should occur during hot summer months to aid in desiccation of roots. As with other control programs, followup control will be required until plant densities are reduced to acceptable levels. Large scale clearing may require revegetation

Figure 7. Cut-stump hand application of triclopyr (amine formulation) immediately following cutting of saltcedar stems with a chain saw.
to discourage reinestation or invasion by other exotic species (Taylor and McDaniel 2004). Control and restoration costs can be very high (Table 3).

- **Aerial Herbicide Applications:** Large, dense infestations also can be controlled through the aerial applications of imazapyr or a mixture of imazapyr and glyphosate. A nonionic surfactant is recommended for both applications. Applications must occur from late August through September prior to color change when plants are actively growing (Duncan and McDaniel 1998, McDaniel and Taylor 2003a, 2003b). The use of fixed wing aircraft can be more economical when treating large saltcedar blocks, while the use of a helicopter is more appropriate for precision application around water bodies and desirable vegetation (McDaniel and Taylor 2003b). These herbicides are slow acting and treated trees should not be removed for a period of 3 years to achieve desired “root kill”. As with other treatments, followup control will be required until plant densities are reduced to acceptable levels. As with large scale mechanical control programs, revegetation may be required for sustainable, long-term control. Control and restoration costs can be high (Tables 3 and 5).

- **Combination of Control Methods:** Frequently large scale mechanical and aerial herbicide treatments can be combined with burning or debris shredding to reduce costs and prepare sites for either natural regeneration or artificial regeneration (Taylor and McDaniel 2004). Regardless of control techniques used, costs are high for treating large exotic monocultures. Considering restoration requirements for sustained, long-term control, sites designated for plant removal should be prioritized based on regeneration potential prior to

**Figure 8. Root plowing of saltcedar on Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge.**
initiating control programs. Insight into appropriate exotic vegetation control strategies is also obtained when the mechanisms for site restoration are considered.

Restoration

Natural regeneration and artificial planting are intended to return sites to plant communities dominated by native or desirable species. Desirable vegetation can protect and enhance hydrologic functions, increase wildlife habitat, and discourage reinvasion of nonnative species.

An example of natural herbaceous regeneration is on the Pecos River, where there is a connection between high ground and surface water, which resulted in the regeneration of saltgrass (*Distichlis stricta*) and alkali sacaton (*Sporobolus airoides*), following saltcedar removal (Walthall 2004). Natural woody regeneration by cottonwoods and willows occurs on the Rio Grande where ground-surface water connectivity are high and where appropriate flooding still occurs following saltcedar removal (Taylor et al. 1999, Taylor and McDaniel 2003). In the first case, aerial herbicide application combined with burning may be sufficient for natural regeneration to occur, while in the second case a combination of techniques which include mechanical soil scarification are required.

Where ground and surface water connectivity is low and/or flooding no longer occurs, artificial planting or seeding may be required to establish vegetation able to compete with exotic re-infestation or invasions by other exotic species. Artificial regeneration prescriptions are extremely rigid and are based primarily on soil type, depth to water table, and soil salinity (Taylor and McDaniel 2003). See Tables 4 and 5 for information on current techniques and cost associated with various options.

Effectiveness, cost, restoration potential, and the risk of causing adverse environmental impacts are important considerations when determining which sections of a river system to treat.

Figure 9. Use of prescribed fire to remove saltcedar woody debris following aerial herbicide treatment, Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge.
### Table 4. Description of restoration and rehabilitation used successfully in New Mexico (adapted from Taylor and McDaniel 2004a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controlled flooding: Flood areas</td>
<td>When native or desirable seeds are available on site.</td>
<td>Cottonwood and willow survival 20% to 47% after 2 years.</td>
<td>Continuing control of invasive exotics is critical.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areas when seeds from desirable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole plantings: Cutting and planting</td>
<td>During winter months: January through March in New Mexico.</td>
<td>Plant survival 90%</td>
<td>For wildlife benefit, density should be at least 100 trees and shrubs per acre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stems of willows and cottonwoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from established trees. Butt ends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are soaked in water 10 days prior to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planting into water table</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Taylor and McDaniel 1998).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursery stock: Place understory</td>
<td>Planting: August best, but requires</td>
<td>Plant survival 90%</td>
<td>Survival decreases if water table is greater than 5 feet. Density should be at least 100 trees or shrubs per acre to benefit wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plants with at least 30 cm of roots</td>
<td>supplemental water for 1-2 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>into holes that are augered to the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water table (Dreesen et al. 2003).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall harvest: Construct a long</td>
<td>Construction: Before rains</td>
<td>Results are comparable to previous</td>
<td>Effective in areas with a deep water table or where moderate salinity levels are present in the soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shallow V-shaped water catchment</td>
<td>Planting: During monsoon season.</td>
<td>methods.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>furrow and line the sides with plastic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seedlings are planted at 5 foot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervals at the bottom of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catchment (Fenchel et al. 1996).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Revegetation techniques and costs on the Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico (Adapted from Taylor and McDaniel 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revegetation Technique</th>
<th>Cost Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pole Planting</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallpot Containerized Stock</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall Harvest</td>
<td>$7,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding</td>
<td>$120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 100 per acre
2 100 foot rows with 3 foot plant spacing and 15 rainfall harvest rows per acre.
3 Seeded with an Australian pitter seeder at the rate of 13.6 pounds per acre for saltbush and 1.5 pounds per acre alkali sacaton.
Program Requirements

**Comprehensive Inventory:**
Although we have broad summaries of the plant communities and levels of exotic tree infestations found in riparian areas (Muldavin et al. 2000), more comprehensive surveys are needed on each river system. We need more precise information on the extent and intensity of infestations and the location and condition of native plant communities. Only with this baseline information will we be able to adequately determine the success of treatments.

A complete and detailed inventory of the five river systems and baseline vegetation maps will establish current infestation levels for saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm, and the location of existing stands of native trees and other native plant communities. This information is needed to determine where to implement projects in the various priority areas. An inventory will provide baseline information necessary to determine the success of treatments to reduce infestations of the exotic tree species and protection and restoration of stands of native trees, shrubs, and other plants.

A specific implementation plan will be developed by the New Mexico Interagency Weed Action Group that outlines methods and costs to conduct an inventory for each of the five river systems in New Mexico. A comprehensive inventory of all river systems could take at least 2 years to complete and could cost as much as $1,000,000 to $1,500,000.

**Long-term Funding:** Adequate, consistent, and long-term funding is needed to prevent the continued spread of exotic tree infestations, control existing infestations, and maintain or restore native plant communities along the five major river systems in New Mexico. It must be emphasized that some treatment and restoration programs have been successfully implemented in New Mexico, such as on the Bosque del Apache National Wildlife Refuge, where about 2,000 acres have been restored since 1987. These efforts, however, have only addressed a small percentage of the overall problem. To accomplish the objectives outlined in this strategic plan, it is estimated that at least $64,400,000 of Federal and State cost-share funding (including volunteer and in-kind services) will be needed over a 10-year period to make significant progress in protecting and restoring riparian areas in the State (Table 6). This is the best estimate that can be developed at this time, especially since we do not know the extent of infestations. As better information becomes available through inventories and annual measurements of success, we will be able to adjust annual budgets to achieve desired control and restoration objectives for the five river systems. At this time, we can only estimate the minimal threshold for annual funding that would be needed to be successful. It must be understood that inadequate funding may not produce any significant control, and the long-term result may not be any different than taking no action. As an example, the overall acreage of saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm infestations may increase by as much as 3 to 7 percent annually. Assuming a total infestation level of 500,000 acres, which may be a conservative estimate, the existing infestations could increase and intensify on 152,400 to 419,200 acres over the next 10 years (Figure 10).

**Technical Support Organization:** To successfully coordinate treatment and restoration programs over the long-term and promote proper and safe implementation of treatments, it will be necessary to build a strong team of technical specialists (three or more permanent employees).
who have the sole responsibility of providing technical advice and assistance to local managers to help ensure the quality, consistency, and continuity of programs. Success for such a technically complex and long-term program is always derived from technically competent people working cooperatively with local managers. In addition, these specialists would provide technical information to local managers and participate in inventory, detection, education and information, demonstrations and research, control, and restoration efforts. The initial cost to support this organization would be about $300,000 per year and increase over time due to inflation.

**Education and Information:** Two separate information and education programs are needed. First, Federal, State, and local officials; tribal leaders; and private landowners will need training in prevention, suppression, and restoration techniques. Due to turnover of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Technical Support Organization</th>
<th>Inventory/Monitoring</th>
<th>Education/Information</th>
<th>Research/Demonstration/Pilot Projects</th>
<th>Control/Restoration</th>
<th>Total Annual Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>5,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>7,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>7,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4,450</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>64,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategy for Long-term Mgmt. of Exotic Trees in New Mexico's Riparian Areas

the people, this activity would need to be done on a continuing basis. Second, development and implementation of outreach programs are needed to inform the public of the seriousness of the threat to riparian areas, and the consequences of failure to control the exotic tree infestations. The technical support organization could accomplish a major portion of an education effort, but providing an additional $50,000 per year would optimize success.

**Measuring Success:** Annual assessments are needed for treatment and restoration programs. Measurements should be tailored to evaluate if the specific objectives for a site were met. For example, if the objectives are to increase water availability, enhance wildlife habitat, and reduce wildfire risk, managers will need to establish three specific assessment measurements and conduct pre- and post-monitoring to determine if the objectives are met. Written monitoring plans should be prepared before beginning treatments.

**Demonstrations:** Three types of demonstration areas are needed: (1) sites to show successful control and restoration methods; (2) sites for training landowners and managers in the application of IVM techniques; and (3) sites to evaluate new and innovative methods of control. These sites will be used to increase awareness of both land managers and the general public.

**Research:** While some progress has been made in developing successful techniques for removal of exotic trees, site restoration, and for evaluating water use by native and nonnative species, many questions remain (Dr. James Gosz, University of New Mexico, 2003, personal communication). Quantifying the hydrologic response to exotic tree management is one of the more important research topics. To understand this influence, we will need to understand the general influences of the hydrological cycle throughout a given water basin in addition to any specific research on exotic tree control methods. There are large uncertainties with current estimates, especially for evapotranspiration and surface/ground water interactions. The key will be to determine if long-term exotic tree removal and restoration efforts will increase water availability. In addition, key research efforts are needed to determine; (1) physiological biology of exotics; (2) reproductive biology of exotics under varying environmental conditions; (3) assessment of the seed sources and seed dispersal leading to new establishments; (4) identification and use of non-native biological control agents; (5) potential of native plant communities to offer competition to exotics; and (6) controlled flooding management effects on native and nonnative species and ecosystems. A separate plan will be developed to identify key research needs.

**Pilot Projects:** Currently several projects are underway which may result in additional control options. The saltcedar leaf beetle (*Diorhabda elongata*) shows considerable promise as a future biological control agent (Lewis et al. 2003). In 2003, the Crete strain of this beetle was released on a site on the Pecos River near Artesia, New Mexico, and its spread and affects on saltcedar will be closely monitored (Dr. David Thompson, New Mexico State University, personal communication). In addition, New Mexico’s legislature has funded research to evaluate the effects of grazing by goats on saltcedar and other exotic trees (New Mexico SB 655, 2003).
Ornamental Trees in Residential Communities: The presence of saltcedar, Russian olive, and Siberian elm trees in communities and other lands adjacent to riparian areas cannot be ignored. Seed produced by ornamental trees will continue to re-infest riparian areas. Incentives for removal of these species, and restrictions on their sale, will have to be addressed, otherwise, re-infestation of riparian sites will occur and long term and sustainable control is unlikely.

Compliance with Laws and Regulations: For Federal lands or where Federal funds will be used, compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is usually required. To expedite project implementation, it will be worthwhile for agencies to develop a programmatic environmental analysis for the river systems in New Mexico. Prior to implementing management programs, consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is required to avoid negative impacts on the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and its habitat or other species of concern. For some projects it may also be necessary to obtain Federal, State, and or local permits of varying types (e.g., burn permits).

Conclusions

While many factors must be considered when developing a local management plan for exotic tree species, it is important to develop a plan that will enable long-term management that is adaptable to meet the specific objectives of each area. Management plans should include mapping, prevention, early detection, timely control, and adaptive management of infested areas, including regeneration. Linking management plans over entire river basins, prioritizing areas, and spending resources efficiently can contain the spread of exotic tree infestations, reduce overall infestation levels, and lead to more diverse riparian ecosystems that are healthy and sustainable.
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